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Objectives

• Participants will learn about the partnership between APS and research entities, including benefits and challenges.
• Participants will develop an understanding about how research informs practice.
• Participants will be better prepared to work alongside practitioners and/or researches to improve their program.
Agenda

• Review the Stages of Collaboration
• Describe Each Project
• Panel Discussion Based on Each Stage of Collaboration
Stages of Research Collaboration With APS Organizations

• Purpose of the report: provide direction regarding specific details of what researchers and APS staff may consider at each stage of collaboration.
  – Stage 1:Planning
  – Stage 2: Conducting the study
  – Stage 3: Results and publication
Stage 1: Planning

• Meet with APS staff to discuss agency’s interest and ability and engage decision makers

• Considerations:
  – Hypothesis to be tested
  – Benefits from the research
  – Costs and time frames
  – Confidentiality of clients
  – Institutional Review Board (IRB)
Stage 2: Conducting the Study

• Consists of gathering, entering and analyzing the data

• Considerations:
  – Methodology is refined
  – IRB approvals obtained
  – Routine progress reports during data collection
  – Collected data entered into quantitative and/or qualitative analysis
  – Discussions regarding results and interpretation
Stage 3: Results and Publication

• After the data are collected and analyzed, representative meet

• Considerations:
  – Results of the study and impact on hypothesis
  – Determination of data to be publicly disseminated
  – Authorship and attributions
  – Prepare reports for publication
“The Abuse of Vulnerable Adults by Surrogate Decision Maker Perpetrators”

• Counties in Six State APS programs contributing de-identified case survey data and selected investigators participating in follow-up interviews

• Researching this form of elder abuse through analysis of substantiated APS cases of victimization perpetrated by surrogate decision makers and comparing these with substantiated cases perpetrated by non-surrogates
State of Maine, APS and University of Toronto Collaboration

• Evaluates an intervention model that works with both the older adult victim and perpetrator to strengthen the family and social systems surrounding the victim-perpetrator dyad
Arizona APS and NCCD

- Conduct data analysis on APS population and engaged APS workers to use findings to customize assessment tools which inform practice and service level intensity.
Panel Discussion

• Stage 1: Planning Discussion (Dr. Ramsey-Klawsnik and Dr. Teaster)
• Stage 2: Conducting a Study (Ms. Salvo and Dr Burns)
• Stage 3: Results and Publication (Ms. Cotter and Ms. Kirchen)
Wrap-Up/Next Steps

• NAPSA Research to Practice event
• Contact anyone on the committee to get involved
Thank You

• Contact Information: